

Hyperbolic Volterra equations of convolution type in Sobolev spaces

Nadezhda A. Rautian and Victor V. Vlasov

Abstract

We study the correct solvability of an abstract integro-differential equations in Hilbert space generalizing integro-differential equations arising in the theory of viscoelasticity. The equations under considerations are the abstract hyperbolic equations perturbed by the terms containing Volterra integral operators. We establish the correct solvability in the weighted Sobolev spaces of vector-valued functions on the positive semiaxis.

1 Introduction

Numerous problems arising in applications come the to research of the integro-differential equations. Let's point to some problems of mechanics and the physics which studying is reduced to the integro-differential equations considered in present paper.

The first class of problems are the problems arising in the theory of viscoelasticity. Integral terms like convolution describe in this case long-term memory, thus functions of a kernel of convolution are defined as a result of experiment. Curves often received as a result of experiment are approximated in practice by the sum of finite number of exponentials or series of exponents:

$$K(t) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k e^{-\gamma_k t}.$$

Rather complete description of the problems arising in the theory of viscoelasticity is given in the recent monograph [37] (see also [8], [18] and references therein).

The second class of problems are the problems of acoustics of emulsions. The mix of two liquids with various characteristics (density, viscosity, compressibility coefficient) is called an emulsion. It is possible to prove by the methods of the homogenization theory that the equation describing an average value of sound pressure for one-dimensional distributing of a sound wave has the abstract form coinciding with a form of the equation, considered in this work (see [24], [19], [27]).

The third class of problems are the problems of homogenization in multiphase media where one of phases is elastic (or viscoelastic) media, and another is viscous (compressible or incompressible) liquid (see [18], [24]).

⁰The authors were financially supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant N 14-01-00349), and also Russian Scientific Foundation (grant N 14-11-00754).

This paper is devoted to researching of the integro-differential equations with unbounded operator coefficients in Hilbert space. Most of the equations under consideration are abstract hyperbolic equations perturbed by terms containing Volterra integral operators. These equations are the abstract forms of the integro-differential equations arising in the theory of viscoelasticity (see [8], [37]) and the Gurtin-Pipkin integro-differential equations (see [5], [12], [14] for more details), which describes heat propagation in media; it also arises in homogenization problems in porous media (Darcy law) (see [19], [24], [33]).

It is shown that the initial boundary value problems for these equations are well-solvable in Sobolev spaces on the positive semiaxis.

Due to the fact that we study not concrete particular partial integro-differential equation but a wide class of integro-differential equations, it is natural and convenient to consider integro-differential equations with unbounded operator coefficients (abstract integro-differential equations), which can be realized as integro-differential partial differential equations with respect to spatial variables when necessary. For the self-adjoint positive operator A considered in what follows we can take, in particular, the operator $A^2y = -y''$, where $x \in (0, \pi)$, $y(0) = y(\pi) = 0$, or the operator $A^2y = -\Delta y$ satisfying the Dirichlet conditions on a bounded domain with sufficiently smooth boundary or more general elliptic self-adjoint operator of the order $2m$ on a bounded domain with sufficiently smooth boundary. At present, there is an extensive literature on abstract integro-differential equations (see, e.g., [1]–[3], [9], [10], [13]–[17], [22]–[32], [34], [37] and the references therein).

In [1]–[3], [9], [10], [21]–[23], [34], [35] (see also the references therein), integro-differential equations with principal part being an abstract parabolic equation were studied. Equations with principal part being an abstract hyperbolic equation are significantly less studied. The works most closely related to this questions are [4], [13], [26]–[32].

We emphasize that our method of the proof of the theorem on the correct solvability of the initial boundary value problem for an abstract integro-differential equation differs substantially from the approach used by L. Pandolfi in [17] and R. K. Miller and R. L. Wheeler in [15], [16]. Moreover, L. Pandolfi studied solvability in function space on a finite time interval $(0, T)$, whereas we study solvability in the weighted Sobolev spaces $W_{2,\gamma}^2(\mathbb{R}_+, A^2)$ on the positive semiaxis $\mathbb{R}_+$.

Our proof of the solvability theorem 2.1, essentially uses the Hilbert structure of the space $W_{2,\gamma}^2(\mathbb{R}_+, A_0^2)$, $L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$ and Paley-Wiener theorem, while in [15]–[17], considerations are performed in Banach function spaces consisting of smooth functions on a finite time interval $(0, T)$.

2 Statement of the problem

Let H be a separable Hilbert space, and let A be a self-adjoint positive operator with bounded inverse acting on H .

Consider the following problem for a second-order integro-differential equation on $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$:

$$\frac{d^2u(t)}{dt^2} + A^2u(t) + Bu(t) - \int_0^t K(t-s)A^2u(s)ds - \int_0^t Q(t-s)Bu(s)ds = f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (2.1)$$

$$u(+0) = \varphi_0, \quad u^{(1)}(+0) = \varphi_1, \quad (2.2)$$

where A is a positive self-adjoint operator acting in the separable Hilbert space H , $A^* = A \geq \kappa_0$ ($\kappa_0 > 0$), having the compact inverse operator, I is identity operator in the separable Hilbert space H ; operator B is symmetric on the $Dom(A^2)$, nonnegative, $(Bx, y) = (x, By)$, $(Bx, x) \geq 0$ for arbitrary $x, y \in Dom(A^2)$ and satisfying the inequality $\|Bx\| \leq \kappa \|A^2x\|$, $0 < \kappa < 1$, $x \in Dom(A^2)$.

We suppose that the kernels $K(t)$ and $Q(t)$ can be represented in the following form

$$K(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j e^{-\gamma_j t}, \quad Q(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_j e^{-\gamma_j t}, \quad (2.3)$$

where the coefficients $c_j > 0$, $d_j \geq 0$, $\gamma_{j+1} > \gamma_j > 0$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma_j \rightarrow +\infty$ ($j \rightarrow +\infty$) and moreover we suppose that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_j}{\gamma_j} < \infty, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_j}{\gamma_j} < \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

The conditions (2.4) means that $K(t), Q(t) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. If in addition to (2.4), conditions

$$K(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j < +\infty, \quad Q(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_j < +\infty. \quad (2.5)$$

hold, then the kernels $K(t)$ and $Q(t)$ belong to the space $W_1^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Let us denote

$$A_0^2 = A^2 + B.$$

Due to known result (theorem [7], p.361) operator A_0^2 is self-adjoint positive operator. We convert the domain $Dom(A_0^2)$ of the operator A_0^2 , $\beta > 0$ into a Hilbert space H_β by endowing $Dom(A_0^2)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\beta = \|A_0^\beta \cdot\|$, which is equivalent to the graph norm of the operator A_0^β .

We note that operator-function $L(\lambda)$ is the symbol of the equation (2.1) and it has the following form

$$L(\lambda) = \lambda^2 I + A^2 + B - \hat{K}(\lambda)A^2 - \hat{Q}(\lambda)B, \quad (2.6)$$

where $\hat{K}(\lambda)$ and $\hat{Q}(\lambda)$ are the Laplace transforms of the kernels $K(t)$ and $Q(t)$, having the representations

$$\hat{K}(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_j}{(\lambda + \gamma_j)}, \quad \hat{Q}(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_j}{(\lambda + \gamma_j)}, \quad (2.7)$$

I is identity operator in the space H .

Remark 2.1 *Because of the assumptions imposing on operator A and B operator A_0 is invertible and the operators $A^2 A_0^{-2}$, $B A_0^{-2}$ are bounded and operator A_0^{-1} is compact.*

By $W_{2,\gamma}^n(\mathbb{R}_+, A_0^n)$ we denote the Sobolev space of vector functions on the half-axis $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$ taking values in H endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_{2,\gamma}^n(\mathbb{R}_+, A_0^n)} \equiv \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-2\gamma t} \left(\|u^{(n)}(t)\|_H^2 + \|A_0^n u(t)\|_H^2 \right) dt \right)^{1/2}, \quad \gamma \geq 0.$$

For more information about the spaces $W_{2,\gamma}^n(\mathbb{R}_+, A_0^n)$, see monograph [11], Chapter 1. For $n = 0$ we set $W_{2,\gamma}^0(\mathbb{R}_+, A_0^0) \equiv L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$, and for $\gamma = 0$, we write $W_{2,0}^n = W_2^n$.

Definition 2.1 *We say that vector function u is a strong solution of the problem (2.1), (2.2), if it belongs to the space $W_{2,\gamma}^2(\mathbb{R}_+, A_0^2)$, where $A_0^2 = A^2 + B$ for some $\gamma \geq 0$, satisfies (2.1) almost everywhere on the half-axis $\mathbb{R}_+$, and satisfies the initial conditions (2.2).*

The following theorem give conditions for problem (2.1), (2.2) to be well-solvable.

Theorem 2.1 *If condition (2.5) holds, $f'(t) \in L_{2,\gamma_0}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$ for certain $\gamma_0 \geq 0$ and $f(0) = 0$, $\varphi_0 \in H_2$, $\varphi_1 \in H_1$. Then there exists $\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_0$, that for any $\gamma > \gamma_1$ problem (2.1), (2.2) is uniquely solvable in the space $W_{2,\gamma}^2(\mathbb{R}_+, A_0^2)$, and its solution satisfies the inequality*

$$\|u\|_{W_{2,\gamma}^2(\mathbb{R}_+, A_0^2)} \leq d \left(\|f'(t)\|_{L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} + \|A_0^2 \varphi_0\|_H + \|A_0 \varphi_1\|_H \right), \quad (2.8)$$

where the constant d does not depend on the vector function f and the vectors φ_0 and φ_1 .

Equation (2.1) is related to applications: if $B \equiv 0$ then it is an abstract form of the Gurtin-Pipkin integro-differential equation modelling the finite-speed heat propagation in media with memory. That integrodifferential equation is deduced in [5].

Equations of the above type are currently investigated by many authors (see [5], [6], [17], [26]–[32], [36], [37] and references therein).

We impose the following assumptions.

1. The operator B is identically zero,
2. The operator A has a compact inverse A^{-1}
3. The real-valued function $K(t)$ satisfies the following assumptions

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j < +\infty; \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_j}{\gamma_j} < 1. \quad (2.9)$$

Note that a detailed structure of the spectrum of the operator-valued function $L(\lambda)$ can be described under the above assumptions.

Let $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be eigenvalues of the operator A ($Ae_j = a_j e_j$), numbered according to the increasing order: $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n < \dots$; $a_n \rightarrow +\infty$ ($n \rightarrow +\infty$). The corresponding eigenvectors $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ form an orthonormal basis of the space H .

Now we consider the structure of the spectrum of the operator-valued function $L_1(\lambda)$:

$$L_1(\lambda) = \lambda^2 I + A^2 - \hat{K}(\lambda)A^2,$$

where $\hat{K}(\lambda)$ is the Laplace transform of the function K .

In the considered case, (2.1) can be decomposed into a countable set of scalar integro-differential equations

$$u_n^{(2)}(t) + a_n^2 u_n(t) - \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k e^{-\gamma_k(t-s)} a_n^2 u_n(s) ds = f_n(t), \quad t > 0 \quad (2.10)$$

where $u_n(t) = (u(t), e_n)$ and $f_n(t) = (f(t), e_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Those equations are projections (2.1) onto the one-dimensional spaces spanned by vectors $\{e_n\}$.

Using the Laplace transform, we naturally arrive at the countable set of meromorphic functions $l_n(\lambda)$:

$$l_n(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + a_n^2 - a_n^2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{\lambda + \gamma_k} \right), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots; \quad (2.11)$$

which are symbols of the integrodifferential equations given by (2.10).

We assume that the following condition is satisfied:

$$\sup_k \{\gamma_k(\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k)\} = +\infty \quad (2.12)$$

The spectrum of the operator-valued function $L_1(\lambda)$ is described as follows.

Theorem 2.2 *The spectrum of the operator-function $L_1(\lambda)$ coincides with the closure of the union of the sets of zeros for the functions $\{l_n(\lambda)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. The zeros of the meromorphic function $l_n(\lambda)$ form a countable set of real roots $\{\lambda_{n,k}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, satisfying the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} -\gamma_k < \lambda_{k,n} < x_k < -\gamma_{k-1} \quad [\gamma_0 = 0], \\ \lambda_{k,n} = x_k + \underline{O}\left(\frac{1}{a_n^2}\right) \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (a_n \rightarrow +\infty). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where x_k are the real zeros of the function

$$g(\lambda) = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{\lambda + \gamma_k}, \quad (2.14)$$

and a pair of complex-conjugate roots $\{\lambda_n^{\pm}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\lambda_n^+ = \overline{\lambda_n^-}$ such that

$$\lambda_n^{\pm} = \pm i \left(a_n + \underline{O}\left(\frac{1}{a_n}\right) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k + \underline{O}\left(\frac{1}{a_n^2}\right), \quad (a_n \rightarrow +\infty). \quad (2.15)$$

Thus, the spectrum $\sigma(L_1)$ of the operator-valued function $L_1(\lambda)$ is representable as

$$\sigma(L_1) \equiv \overline{\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\lambda_{n,k}\} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{\pm} \right)},$$

where $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k,n} = x_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$




Figure 1: Spectral structure in case $K(t) \in W_1^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $\beta = -\frac{1}{2}K(0)$

The proof of Theorem 2.2 is given in [32]. Picture of the spectrum of operator-function $L_1(\lambda)$ is given at Figure 1.

Remark 2.2 *The spectrum of the operator-valued function $L_1(\lambda)$ is located in the left-hand semiplane $\{\lambda : \Re \lambda < 0\}$, if*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_j}{\gamma_j} < 1.$$

If

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_j}{\gamma_j} > 1,$$

then the accumulation point x_1 of the poles, which are eigenvalues $\{\lambda_{1n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of the operator-valued function L_1 is located in the right-hand semi-plane $\{\lambda : \Re \lambda > 0\}$; this corresponds to the instability case.

We obtain representations of solutions of problem (2.1), (2.1) for $B \equiv 0$ in the form of series in exponentials corresponding to points of spectrum of the operator-valued function $L_1(\lambda)$.

Theorem 2.3 *Suppose that $f(t) = 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the vector function $u(t) \in W_{2,\gamma}^2(\mathbb{R}_+, A^2)$, $\gamma > 0$ is a strong solution of problem (2.1), (2.2) and conditions (2.9), (2.12) are satisfied. Then, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ the solution $u(t)$ of problem (2.1), (2.2) can be represented as the following sum of series:*

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\varphi_{1n} + \lambda_n^+ \varphi_{0n}) e^{\lambda_n^+ t} e_n}{l'_n(\lambda_n^+)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\varphi_{1n} + \lambda_n^- \varphi_{0n}) e^{\lambda_n^- t} e_n}{l'_n(\lambda_n^-)} + \\ & + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\varphi_{1n} + \lambda_{k,n} \varphi_{0n}) e^{\lambda_{k,n} t}}{l'_n(\lambda_{k,n})} \right) e_n, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

convergent in the norm of H , where $\varphi_{in} = (\varphi_i, e_n)$, $(i = 0, 1)$, $\lambda_{k,n}$ are the real zeros of the meromorphic function $l_n(\lambda)$, which satisfy the inequalities (2.13) and $\lambda_n^\pm$ is a pair of complex conjugate zeros, $\lambda_n^+ = \overline{\lambda_n^-}$, asymptotically representable in the form (2.15).

Theorem 2.4 *If $\varphi_0 \in H_2$ and $\varphi_1 \in H_1$, then the series obtained from (2.16) by p -fold termwise differentiation with respect to t for $p = 0, 1, 2$ converges in the space H_{2-p} uniformly with respect to t on any interval $[t_0, T]$, where $0 < t_0 < T < +\infty$. Moreover, for all $t \in [t_0, T]$ one has the estimates*

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n^{(p)}(t) e_n \right\|_{H_{2-p}}^2 \leq d(\|A\varphi_1\|^2 + \|A^2\varphi_0\|^2), \quad p = 0, 1, 2,$$

where $u_n(t) = (u(t), e_n)$, with constant d independent of the vector functions φ_1 and φ_0 .

Further, if there are finitely many summands in (2.10) (i.e., $c_j = 0$ for $j > N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$), then one can set $t_0 = 0$.

Theorem 2.5 *Suppose that $f(t) \in C([0, T], H)$ for arbitrary $T > 0$ and suppose that the vector-function $u(t) \in W_{2,\gamma}^2(\mathbb{R}_+, A^2)$ for some $\gamma > 0$, is a strong solution of problem (2.1), (2.2), conditions (2.9), (2.12) are satisfied, and $\varphi_0 = \varphi_1 = 0$. Then, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the solution $u(t)$ of problem (2.1), (2.2) can be represented as the following sum of series:*

$$u(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n(t, \lambda_n^+) e_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n(t, \lambda_n^-) e_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_n(t, \lambda_{k,n}) \right) e_n, \quad (2.17)$$

converging in the norm of H , where

$$\omega_n(t, \lambda) = \frac{\int_0^t f_n(\tau) e^{\lambda(t-\tau)} d\tau}{l'_n(\lambda)},$$

$f_n(t) = (f(t), e_n)$.

Theorem 2.6 *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.5 be satisfied together with the condition $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j^{-3/2} < \infty$. Then the series obtained from (2.17) by p -fold term-by-term differentiation with respect to t for $p = 0, 1, 2$ is convergent in the space H_{2-p} uniformly with respect to t on any interval $[t_0, T]$, where $t_0 < T < +\infty$ ($t_0 = 0$ for $p = 0, 1$ and $t_0 > 0$ for $p = 2$); moreover the following estimates hold for all $t \in [t_0, T]$:*

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(t) e_n \right\|_{H_2}^2 \leq d \|A^2 f(t)\|_{L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)}^2, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n^{(p)}(t) e_n \right\|_{H_{2-p}}^2 &\leq \\ &\leq d \left(\|A^{2-p} f^{(p)}(t)\|_{L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)}^2 + \|A^{2-p} f(0)\|_H^2 + (p-1) \|f'(0)\|_H^2 \right), \\ &p = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

where $u_n(t) = (u(t), e_n)$.

The series (2.16) and (2.17) are obtained by applying the inverse Laplace transform to the solution of problem (2.1), (2.2) with the use of integration over rectangular contours separating the points γ_j . (The construction of these contours is described in [29].) Here the estimates of the operator function $L_1^{-1}(\lambda)$ on these contours play an important role. Besides, the proof of Theorems 2.3 – 2.6 heavily uses the representations (2.13) and (2.15) obtained in [29]. The proofs of Theorems 2.3 – 2.6 are obtained in [28].

Throughout the paper, the expression $D \lesssim E$ stands for the inequality $D \leq \text{const} \cdot E$, with a positive constant const ; the expression $D \approx E$ means that $D \lesssim E \lesssim D$. The symbols $:=$ and $=:$ are used for the introduction of new quantities.

3 Proofs.

We begin the proof of the theorem 2.1 in the case of homogeneous (zero) initial conditions ($\varphi_0 = \varphi_1 = 0$). We use Laplace transformation in order to prove the correct solvability of the problem (2.1) and (2.2). Now we are going to remind the base assertions that will be used later.

Definition 3.1 We denote by $H_2(\Re \lambda > \gamma, H)$ the Hardy space of vector-functions $\hat{f}(\lambda)$ taking values in the space H , holomorphic (analytic) in the semiplane $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \Re \lambda > \gamma \geq 0\}$ endowed with the norm

$$\sup_{x > \gamma} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\| \hat{f}(x + iy) \right\|_H^2 dy < \infty, \quad (\lambda = x + iy). \quad (3.1)$$

We formulate well-known Paley-Wiener theorem for Hardy space $H_2(\Re \lambda > \gamma, H)$.

Theorem (Paley-Wiener). 1) The space $H_2(\Re \lambda > \gamma, H)$ coincides with the set of vector-functions (Laplace transformations) representing in the form

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f(t) dt, \quad (3.2)$$

with vector-function $f(t) \in L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re \lambda > \gamma \geq 0$.

2) There exists unique vector-function $f(t) \in L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$ for arbitrary vector-function $\hat{f}(\lambda) \in H_2(\Re \lambda > \gamma, H)$ and the following inversion formula take place

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{f}(\gamma + iy) e^{(\gamma + iy)t} dy, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \gamma \geq 0 \quad (3.3)$$

3) The following equality take place for vector-function $\hat{f}(\lambda) \in H_2(\Re \lambda > \gamma, H)$ and $f(t) \in L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$, connected by relation (3.2):

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{f}\|_{H_2(\Re \lambda > \gamma, H)}^2 &\equiv \sup_{x > \gamma} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\| \hat{f}(x + iy) \right\|_H^2 dy = \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-2\gamma t} \|f(t)\|_H^2 dt \equiv \|f\|_{L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The theorem formulated above is well-known for the scalar functions. However it is easily generalized for the vector-functions taking values in the separable Hilbert space. (see [20]).

Proof of the theorem 2.1. Let us consider the case $f'(t) \in L_{2,\gamma_2}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$, $f(0) = 0$. The Laplace transformation of the strong solution of the problem (2.1) and (2.2) with initial conditions $u(+0) = 0$, $u^{(1)}(+0) = 0$ has the following representation

$$\hat{u}(\lambda) = L^{-1}(\lambda)\hat{f}(\lambda). \quad (3.5)$$

Here the operator-function $L(\lambda)$ is the symbol of the equation (2.1) and it has the following form

$$L(\lambda) = \lambda^2 I + A^2 + B - \hat{K}(\lambda)A^2 - \hat{Q}(\lambda)B, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\hat{K}(\lambda)$ and $\hat{Q}(\lambda)$ are the Laplace transforms of the kernels $K(t)$ and $Q(t)$, having the representations

$$\hat{K}(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_j}{(\lambda + \gamma_j)}, \quad \hat{Q}(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_j}{(\lambda + \gamma_j)}, \quad (3.7)$$

$\hat{f}(\lambda)$ is Laplace transform of vector-valued function $f(t)$, I is identity operator in the space H .

We suppose there exists $\gamma^* \geq 0$, so that $u \in W_{2,\gamma^*}^2(\mathbb{R}_+, A_0^2)$. We need this supposition in order to use the Laplace transformation to the equation (2.1).

It is sufficient (for proof of the theorem 2.1) to prove that vector-functions $\lambda^2 \hat{u}(\lambda)$ and $A_0^2 \hat{u}(\lambda)$ belong to Hardy space $H_2(\Re \lambda > \gamma, H)$ for some $\gamma > \gamma_0 \geq 0$. Then we shall obtain by the Paley-Wiener theorem that vector-functions $\frac{d^2 u}{dt^2}$ and $A_0^2 u(t)$ belong to the space $L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$ and hence $u(t) \in W_{2,\gamma}^2(\mathbb{R}_+, A_0^2)$. Thus we shall prove the solvability of the problem (2.1) and (2.2) with homogeneous initial conditions in the space $W_{2,\gamma}^2(\mathbb{R}_+, A_0^2)$.

Further we shall use the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *There exists such $\gamma > 0$ that the inequality*

$$\sup_{\Re \lambda > \gamma > 0} \left\| \frac{1}{\lambda} A_0^2 (\lambda^2 I + A_0^2)^{-1} \right\| < \frac{\text{const}}{\tau}, \quad \lambda = \tau + i\nu \quad (3.8)$$

is valid.

Proof of the Lemma 3.1. Taking into account that operator A_0 is self-adjoint we shall use the spectral theorem (see [7], pp. 452-453). Suppose that $\lambda = \tau + i\nu$, $(\tau, \nu \in \mathbb{R})$ and $a \in \sigma(A_0)$ that is a belongs to the spectra of operator A_0 . Due to the spectral theorem it is sufficient to prove the following estimate

$$\frac{a^2}{(\tau^2 + \nu^2)^{1/2}((\tau^2 - \nu^2) + a^2)^2 + 4\tau^2 \nu^2)^{1/2}} \leq \frac{\text{const}}{\tau}, \quad \tau \geq \gamma > 0. \quad (3.9)$$

In order to do this let us estimate function

$$f(a, \tau, \nu) = (\tau^2 + \nu^2)((\tau^2 - \nu^2) + a^2)^2 + 4\tau^2 \nu^2$$

from the below. Let us consider the constant $d \in (0, 1)$. Then the following estimate is valid

$$f(a, \tau, \nu) \geq \min \left\{ \min_{\nu^2 \in [0, da^2]} f(a, \tau, \nu), \min_{\nu^2 \in [da^2, +\infty]} f(a, \tau, \nu) \right\} \geq \\ \geq \min \{ (\tau^2 + (1-d)a^2)^2 \tau^2, (\tau^2 + da^2) 4da^2 \tau^2 \}.$$

Hence we have

$$\frac{a^2}{(f(a, \tau, \nu))^{1/2}} \leq a^2 \max \left[\frac{1}{(\tau^2 + (1-d)a^2)^{1/2} \tau}, \frac{1}{(\tau^2 + da^2)^{1/2} 2\sqrt{d}a\tau} \right] \leq \\ \leq \max \left[\frac{1}{\tau \left(\frac{\tau^2}{a^2} + (1-d) \right)^{1/2}}, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{d}\tau \left(\frac{\tau^2}{a^2} + d \right)^{1/2}} \right] \leq \max \left[\frac{1}{\tau(1-d)}, \frac{1}{2\tau d} \right]. \quad (3.10)$$

Putting $d = 1/3$ we obtain from (3.10) the desired inequality (3.9). Lemma 3.1 is proved.

Lemma 3.2 *Suppose the conditions of the theorem 1 are satisfies. Then there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that operator-valued function $(I - V(\lambda))^{-1}$, where*

$$V(\lambda) = \hat{K}(\lambda)A^2(\lambda^2 I + A_0^2)^{-1} + \hat{Q}(\lambda)B(\lambda^2 I + A_0^2)^{-1} \quad (3.11)$$

is analytic at half-plane $\{\lambda : \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \gamma\}$ and satisfies the following inequality

$$\sup_{\lambda: \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \gamma} \|(I - V(\lambda))^{-1}\| \leq \text{const}. \quad (3.12)$$

Proof of the Lemma 3.2. On the base of the conditions (2.5) it is easy to check that

$$\sup_{\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0} |\hat{K}(\lambda)| = \sup_{\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_j}{(\lambda + \gamma_j)} \right| \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \right) \sup_{\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0} \frac{1}{|\lambda + \gamma_1|} \leq \frac{K(0)}{|\lambda|}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\sup_{\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0} |\hat{Q}(\lambda)| = \sup_{\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_j}{(\lambda + \gamma_j)} \right| \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_j \right) \sup_{\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0} \frac{1}{|\lambda + \gamma_1|} \leq \frac{Q(0)}{|\lambda|}. \quad (3.14)$$

Then using Lemma 1 we obtain that there exists such $\gamma_1 > 0$ that for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \gamma_1$ we have

$$\left\| \hat{K}(\lambda)A^2(\lambda^2 I + A_0^2)^{-1} \right\| \leq \left| \hat{K}(\lambda) \right| \left\| A^2 A_0^{-2} \right\| \left\| A_0^2(\lambda^2 I + A_0^2)^{-1} \right\| \leq \\ \leq |K(0)| \left\| A^2 A_0^{-2} \right\| \left\| \frac{1}{\lambda} A_0^2(\lambda^2 I + A_0^2)^{-1} \right\| \leq \frac{\text{const}}{\operatorname{Re} \lambda}. \quad (3.15)$$

$$\left\| \hat{Q}(\lambda)B(\lambda^2 I + A_0^2)^{-1} \right\| \leq \left| \hat{Q}(\lambda) \right| \left\| B A_0^{-2} \right\| \left\| A_0^2(\lambda^2 I + A_0^2)^{-1} \right\| \leq \\ \leq |Q(0)| \left\| B A_0^{-2} \right\| \left\| \frac{1}{\lambda} A_0^2(\lambda^2 I + A_0^2)^{-1} \right\| \leq \frac{\text{const}}{\operatorname{Re} \lambda}. \quad (3.16)$$

Uniting the estimates (3.15), (3.16) we have

$$\|V(\lambda)\| \leq \frac{\text{const}}{\text{Re } \lambda}. \quad (3.17)$$

and thus it is possible to choose such $\gamma > 0$ that

$$\sup_{\text{Re } \lambda > \gamma} \|V(\lambda)\| < 1.$$

Thus we proved Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.3 *The following estimate*

$$\left\| \lambda(\lambda^2 I + A_0^2)^{-1} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{|\text{Re } \lambda|} \quad (3.18)$$

is valid.

Proof of the Lemma 3.3. Suppose parameter a belongs to the spectra of operator A . Using the spectral theorem it is sufficient to note that the following chain of inequalities

$$\left| \lambda(\lambda^2 + a^2)^{-1} \right| = \frac{(\tau^2 + \nu^2)^{1/2}}{(\tau^2 + (\nu + a)^2)^{1/2}(\tau^2 + (\nu - a)^2)^{1/2}} \leq \frac{1}{|\tau|}, \quad \lambda = \tau + i\nu.$$

is valid. Thus the estimate (3.18). Lemma 3.3 is proved.

Now using Lemmas 3.1-3.3 and the representation

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[(\lambda^2 I + A_0^2)^{-1} \left(I - \hat{K}(\lambda) A^2 (\lambda^2 I + A_0^2)^{-1} - \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \hat{Q}(\lambda) B (\lambda^2 I + A_0^2)^{-1} \right)^{-1} \lambda f(\lambda) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

We shall prove that vector-valued functions $\lambda^2 \hat{u}(\lambda)$ and $A_0^2 \hat{u}(\lambda)$ belong to Hardy space $H_2(\text{Re } \lambda > \gamma, H)$. Owing to the fact that $f'(t) \in L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$ and $f(0) = 0$ we obtain that vector function $\lambda \hat{f}(\lambda)$ belongs to Hardy space $H_2(\text{Re } \lambda > \gamma_0, H)$. From Lemmas 1, 2 have we that operator-valued function

$$A_0^2 \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[(\lambda^2 I + A_0^2)^{-1} \left(I - \hat{K}(\lambda) A^2 (\lambda^2 I + A_0^2)^{-1} - \hat{Q}(\lambda) B (\lambda^2 I + A_0^2)^{-1} \right)^{-1} \right]$$

is bounded and analytic in the half-plane $\{\lambda : \text{Re } \lambda > \gamma\}$. Let us choose $\gamma_1 = \max(\gamma_0, \gamma)$. Hence vector-valued function $A_0^2 \hat{u}(\lambda) \in H_2(\text{Re } \lambda > \gamma_1, H)$. Moreover we obtain the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_0^2 \hat{u}(\lambda)\|_{H_2(\text{Re } \lambda > \gamma_1, H)} \leq \sup_{\text{Re } \lambda > \gamma_1} \left\| A_0^2 \frac{1}{\lambda} (\lambda^2 I + A_0^2)^{-1} (I - V(\lambda))^{-1} \right\| \cdot \\ \cdot \|\lambda f(\lambda)\|_{H_2(\text{Re } \lambda > \gamma_1, H)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Using the Paley-Wiener theorem we have

$$\|A_0^2 u(t)\|_{L_{2,\gamma_1}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} \leq \text{const} \|f'(t)\|_{L_{2,\gamma_1}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)}. \quad (3.21)$$

In turn from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 we obtain that operator-function $\lambda(\lambda^2 I + A_0^2)^{-1}(I - V(\lambda))^{-1}$ is bounded and analytic at half-plane $\{\lambda : \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \gamma\}$. Thus vector-function $\lambda^2 \hat{u}(\lambda) \in H_2(\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \gamma_1, H)$ and moreover the following estimate is valid

$$\|\lambda^2 \hat{u}(\lambda)\|_{H_2(\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \gamma_1, H)} \leq \sup_{\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \gamma_1} \left\| \lambda(\lambda^2 I + A_0^2)^{-1}(I - V(\lambda))^{-1} \right\| \cdot \|\lambda f(\lambda)\|_{H_2(\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \gamma_1, H)}. \quad (3.22)$$

Using the Paley-Wiener theorem we have

$$\left\| \frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} \right\|_{L_{2, \gamma_1}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} \leq \operatorname{const} \|f'(t)\|_{L_{2, \gamma_1}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)}. \quad (3.23)$$

On the base of inequalities (3.21) and (3.23) we obtain the estimate

$$\|u(t)\|_{W_{2, \gamma_1}^2(\mathbb{R}_+, A_0^2)} \leq \operatorname{const} \|f'(t)\|_{L_{2, \gamma_1}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)}. \quad (3.24)$$

Thus we proved theorem 2.1 for homogeneous initial data (2.2).

Let us consider now the general case that is the problem (2.1), (2.2) with nonzero initial data φ_0 and φ_1 . We will look for the solution of the problem (2.1), (2.2) in the form

$$u(t) = \cos(A_0 t) \varphi_0 + (A_0)^{-1} \sin(A_0 t) \varphi_1 + \omega(t),$$

with new unknown vector-function $\omega(t)$. Then for vector-function $\omega(t)$ we obtain the problem with homogeneous initial conditions

$$\frac{d^2 \omega(t)}{dt^2} + A_0^2 \omega(t) - \int_0^t K(t-s) A^2 \omega(s) ds - \int_0^t Q(t-s) B \omega(s) ds = f_1(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (3.25)$$

$$\omega(+0) = \omega^{(1)}(+0) = 0. \quad (3.26)$$

where $f_1(t) = f(t) + h(t)$ and vector-function

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) = & \int_0^t K(t-s) A^2 (\cos(A_0 s) \varphi_0 + (A_0)^{-1} \sin(A_0 s) \varphi_1) ds + \\ & + \int_0^t Q(t-s) B (\cos(A_0 s) \varphi_0 + (A_0)^{-1} \sin(A_0 s) \varphi_1) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

It is sufficient for us to prove that $h'(t) \in L_{2, \gamma_0}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$ and $h(0) = 0$. Using integration by parts we obtain the following representation for vector-function

$$h'(t) = g_1(t) + A^2 g_2(t) + B g_3(t), \quad (3.28)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(t) &= K(t) A^2 \varphi_0 + Q(t) B \varphi_0, \\ g_2(t) &= \int_0^t K(t-s) (-A_0 \sin(A_0 s) \varphi_0 + \cos(A_0 s) \varphi_1) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

$$g_3(t) = \int_0^t Q(t-s) (-A_0 \sin(A_0 s) \varphi_0 + \cos(A_0 s) \varphi_1) ds.$$

Integrating by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t e^{-\gamma_j(t-s)} \cos(A_0 s) ds &= \\ &= (A_0^2 + \gamma_j^2 I)^{-1} (\gamma_j (\cos(A_0 t) - e^{-\gamma_j t} I) + A_0 \sin(A_0 t)), \\ \int_0^t e^{-\gamma_j(t-s)} \sin(A_0 s) ds &= \\ &= (A_0^2 + \gamma_j^2 I)^{-1} (A_0 (e^{-\gamma_j t} I - \cos(A_0 t)) + \gamma_j \sin(A_0 t)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

We need the following proposition

Proposition 3.1 *The inequality*

$$\left\| (A_0^2 + \gamma_j^2 I)^{-1} \right\|_H^2 \lesssim \gamma_j^{-2} \|A_0^{-1}\|_H^2, \quad j \in \mathbb{N} \quad (3.31)$$

is valid.

Really for arbitrary vector $x \in H$ such that $\|x\|_H = 1$ the following chain of inequalities is true

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (A_0^2 + \gamma_j^2 I)^{-1} x \right\|_H^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n^2 + \gamma_j^2)^{-2} |x_n|^2 \lesssim \\ &\lesssim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\gamma_j a_n)^{-2} |x_n|^2 = \left\| \gamma_j^{-1} A_0^{-1} x \right\|_H^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $x_n = (x, e_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ the orthonormal basis composed of the eigenvectors of the self-adjoint operator operator A_0 corresponding to eigenvalues a_j , i.e., such that $A_0 e_j = a_j e_j$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The eigenvalues a_j are numbered in increasing order with multiplicity taken into account: $0 < a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots$; $a_n \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

In what follows we will use the following well-known estimates:

$$\|\cos(A_0 t)\|_H \leq 1, \quad \|\sin(A_0 t)\|_H \leq 1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (3.32)$$

Let us suppose that condition (2.5) is satisfied and initial vectors $\varphi_0 \in D(A_0^2)$, $\varphi_1 \in D(A_0)$. On the base of (3.30) let us estimate the norms $\|g_1(t)\|_{L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)}$, $\|A^2 g_2(t)\|_{L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)}$, $\|B g_3(t)\|_{L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)}$. We will use the following remark.

Remark 3.1 *From the definition of the operator A_0^2 the evident inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^2 x\|_{L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} &\leq \|A_0^2 x\|_{L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)}, \quad x \in \text{Dom}(A_0^2) \\ \|B x\|_{L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} &\leq \|A_0^2 x\|_{L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

follows.

Due to the Remark 3.1 estimate the vector-valued functions $A_0^2 g_2(t)$ and $A_0^2 g_3(t)$.

Thus we have the following estimate

$$\|g_1(t)\|_{L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j e^{-\gamma_j t} A^2 \varphi_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_j e^{-\gamma_j t} B \varphi_0 \right\|_{L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} \lesssim \|A_0^2 \varphi_0\|_H. \quad (3.34)$$

Owing to (3.30) vector-valued function $A_0^2 g_2(t)$ has the representation

$$\begin{aligned} A_0^2 g_2(t) &= \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j e^{-\gamma_j(t-s)} A_0^2 (-A_0 \sin(A_s) \varphi_0 + \cos(A_0 s) \varphi_1) ds = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j A_0^2 (A_0^2 + \gamma_j^2 I)^{-1} (-A_0) (A_0 (e^{-\gamma_j t} I - \cos(A_0 t)) + \gamma_j \sin(A_0 t)) \varphi_0 + \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j A_0^2 (A_0^2 + \gamma_j^2 I)^{-1} (\gamma_j (\cos(A_0 t) - e^{-\gamma_j t} I) + A_0 \sin(A_0 t)) \varphi_1. \end{aligned}$$

Further we need the following evident proposition.

Proposition 3.2 *The following inequalities*

$$\left\| A_0^2 (A_0^2 + \gamma_j^2 I)^{-1} \right\|_H \leq \text{const}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}$$

are valid.

On the base of propositions 3.1, 3.2 and inequalities (3.32) we have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_0^2 g_2(t)\|_H &\leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j A_0^2 (A_0^2 + \gamma_j^2 I)^{-1} A_0^2 e^{-\gamma_j t} \varphi_0 \right\|_H + \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j A_0^2 (A_0^2 + \gamma_j^2 I)^{-1} A_0^2 \cos(A_0 t) \varphi_0 \right\|_H + \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \gamma_j A_0^2 (A_0^2 + \gamma_j^2 I)^{-1} A_0 \sin(A_0 t) \varphi_0 \right\|_H + \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \gamma_j A_0^2 (A_0^2 + \gamma_j^2 I)^{-1} e^{-\gamma_j t} \varphi_0 \right\|_H + \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \gamma_j A_0^2 (A_0^2 + \gamma_j^2 I)^{-1} \cos(A_0 t) \varphi_0 \right\|_H + \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j A_0^2 (A_0^2 + \gamma_j^2 I)^{-1} A_0 \sin(A_0 t) \varphi_0 \right\|_H \lesssim \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \right) \|A_0^2 \varphi_0\|_H + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \right) \|A_0 \varphi_1\|_H. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

Strictly analogously we obtain the estimate for vector-valued function $Bg_3(t)$

$$\|Bg_3(t)\|_H \leq \|A_0^2 g_3(t)\|_H \leq \text{const} (\|A_0^2 \varphi_0\|_H + \|A_0 \varphi_1\|_H).$$

Uniting inequalities (3.34)–(3.35) we have the following estimate. So for the following estimate is valid

$$\|g_1(t)\|_H + \|A^2 g_2(t)\|_H + \|Bg_3(t)\|_H \leq \text{const} (\|A_0^2 \varphi_0\|_H + \|A_0 \varphi_1\|_H). \quad (3.36)$$

From the representation (3.27) and estimate (3.35) we obtain that vector-valued functions $A^2 g_2(t)$, $Bg_3(t)$ belong to the space $L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)$ with arbitrary $\gamma > 0$ and the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|h'(t)\|_{L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} &\leq \\ &\leq \|g_1(t)\|_{L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} + \|A^2 g_2(t)\|_{L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} + \|Bg_3(t)\|_{L_{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}_+, H)} \leq \\ &\leq \text{const} (\|A_0^2 \varphi_0\|_H + \|A_0 \varphi_1\|_H) \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

is valid. Let us put $\gamma = \gamma_0$.

Because of the kernels $K(t)$ and $Q(t)$ belong to the space $W_1^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$, due to Remark 3.1 and conditions of the theorem 2.1 vector-valued function $h(t)$ (see (3.27)) satisfies the desired property $\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} h(t) = h(+0) = 0$.

At least on the base of estimate (3.24), representations (3.27), (3.28) and estimate (3.37) we have the desired estimate (2.8). Theorem 2.1 is proved.

4 Conclusion remarks.

In our previous works [25]–[32], [36] the problem (2.1), (2.2) in the case when operator $B = 0$ was investigated in details. The main attention was paid in these articles to the spectral analysis of operator-function (2.6) along with the problem of correct solvability. In turn of the base of spectral analysis the results about representation of the strong solutions of the equation (2.1) in the series of exponentials corresponding to the points of spectra for operator-valued function $L(\lambda)$ were proved (see [28] and monograph [36], chapter 3, for details).

References

- [1] G. Di Blasio, Parabolic Volterra equations of convolution type, *J. Integral Equations Appl.* **6** (1994), 479–508.
- [2] G. Di Blasio, K. Kunisch, E. Sinestari, L^2 -regularity for parabolic partial integrodifferential equations with delays in the highest order derivatives, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **102** (1984), 38–57.
- [3] G. Di Blasio, K. Kunisch, E. Sinestari, Stability for abstract linear functional differential equations, *Israel. J. Mathematics*. **50:3** (1985), 231–263.

- [4] W. Desch, R. K. Miller, Exponential stabilization of Volterra Integrodifferential equations in Hilbert space, *J. Differential Equations*. **70** (1987), 366–389.
- [5] M. E. Gurtin, A. C. Pipkin, General theory of heat conduction with finite wave speed, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **31** (1968), 113–126.
- [6] S. Ivanov, L. Pandolfi, Heat equations with memory: lack of controllability to the rest, *Jornal of Mathematical analysis and applications*. **355** (2009), 1–11.
- [7] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer, 1966.
- [8] N. D. Kopachevsky, S. G. Krein, *Operator approach to Linear Problems of Hydrodynamics*, Vol. 2. Nonself adjoint Problems for Viscous Fluids, Berlin, Basel-Boston, 2003.
- [9] K. Kunisch, M. Mastinsek, Dual semigroups and structural operators for partial differential equations with unbounded operators acting on the delays, *Differ. Integral Equations*, **3:4** (1990), 733–756.
- [10] K. Kunisch, W. Shappacher Necessary conditions for partial differential equations with delay to generate l_0 -semigroup, *J. Differ. Equations*, **50** (1983), 49–79.
- [11] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Nonhomogeneous Boundary-Value Problems and Applications*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1972.
- [12] A. V. Lykov, *Problems of Heat and Mass Transfer*, [in Russian], Minsk, 1976.
- [13] D. A. Medvedev, V. V. Vlasov, J. Wu, Solvability and structural properties of abstract neutral functional differential equations, *Functional Differential Equations*, **15:3,4** (2008), 249–272.
- [14] R. K. Miller, An integrodifferential equation for rigid heat conductors with memory, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **66** (1978), 313–332.
- [15] R. K. Miller, Volterra Integral Equation in Banach Space, *Funkcialaj Ekvac.*, **18** (1975), 163–194.
- [16] R. K. Miller, R. L. Wheeler, Well-posedness and stability of linear Volterra integrodifferential equations in abstract spaces, *Funkcialaj Ekvac.*, **21** (1978), 279–305.
- [17] L. Pandolfi, The controllability of the Gurtin-Pipkin equations: a cosine operator approach, *Appl. Math. Optim.*, **52** (2005), 143–165.
- [18] E. Sanches-Palencia *Non-Homogeneous Media and Vibration Theory*, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [19] G. V. Sandrakov, Multiphase homogenized diffusion models for problems with several parameters, *Izvestiya: Mathematics*, **71:6** (2007), 119 – 172.
- [20] V. V. Vlasov, *On the spaces of the vector-functions holomorphic in the angle* [in Russian], Preprint, Moscow, 1981.

- [21] V. V. Vlasov, On the solvability and properties of solutions of functional-differential equations in Hilbert spaces, *Sbornik Mathem.*, **186:8** (1995), 67–92.
- [22] V. V. Vlasov, On the solvability and estimates of solutions of functional-differential equations in Sobolev spaces, *Proc. Steklova Math. Inst.*, **227** (1999), 109–121.
- [23] V. V. Vlasov, On the solvability of abstract parabolic equations with aftereffect, *Dokl. Ross. Akad. Nauk.*, **415:2** (2007), 151–152.
- [24] V. V. Vlasov, A. A. Gavrikov, S. A. Ivanov, D. U. Knyazkov, V. A. Samarin, A. S. Shamaev, Spectral properties of the combined media, *Modern problems of Mathematics and Mechanics*, **1** (2009), 134–155.
- [25] V. V. Vlasov, D. A. Medvedev, Functional-differential equations in Sobolev spaces and related problems of spectral theory, *Contemporary Math. Fundamental Directions*, **30** (2008), 3–173. (English translation *Journ. of Math. Sc.*, **164:5** (2010), 659 – 841)
- [26] V. V. Vlasov, N. A. Rautian, Well-Defined Solvability and Spectral Analysis of Abstract Hyperbolic Integrodifferential Equations, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, **179:3** (2011), 390 - 414.
- [27] V. V. Vlasov, N. A. Rautian, A. S. Shamaev, Solvability and Spectral Analysis of Integro-Differential Equations Arising in the Theory of Heat Transfer and Acoustics, *Doklady Mathematics*, **82** (2010), 684– 687.
- [28] V. V. Vlasov, N. A. Rautian, Spectral Analysis and Representations of Solutions of Abstract Integro-differential Equations in Hilbert Space, *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, **236**, (2013), 519–537, Springer Basel AG.
- [29] V. V. Vlasov, N. A. Rautian, A. S. Shamaev, Spectral analysis and correct solvability of abstract integrodifferential equations arising in thermophysics and acoustics, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, **190:1** (2013), 34 – 65.
- [30] V. V. Vlasov, K. I. Shmatov, On the solvability of delayed hyperbolic equations in Hilbert spaces, *Proc. Steklov Math. Inst.*, **243** (2003), 127–137.
- [31] V. V. Vlasov, J. Wu, Solvability and Spectral Analysis of Abstract Hyperbolic equations with delay, *Functional Differential Equations*, **16:4** (2009), 751–768.
- [32] V. V. Vlasov, J. Wu , G. R. Kabirova, Well-defined solvability and spectral properties of abstract hyperbolic equations with aftereffect, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, **170:3** (2010), 388–404.
- [33] V. V. Zhikov, On an extension of the method of two-scale convergence and its applications, *Sbornik: Mathematics*, **191:7** (2000), 31 – 72.
- [34] J. Wu, Semigroup and integral form of class of partial differential equations with infinite delay, *Differ. Integr. Equations*, **4:6** (1991), 1325–1351.
- [35] J. Wu, *Theory and applications of partial functional differential equations*, Appl. Math. Sci., New York: Springer-Verlag, **119** (1996).

- [36] V. V. Vlasov, D. A. Medvedev, N. A. Rautian *Functional Differential Equations in Sobolev Spaces and its Spectral Analysis*, Contemporary Problems of Mathematics and Mechanics. — Moscow State University, **8:1** (2011), 308 P.
- [37] G. Amendola, M. Fabrizio, J. M. Golden *Thermodynamics of materials with memory: theory and applications*, New York: Springer, 2012.

N. A. Rautian
Department of Mechanics and Mathematics
Moscow Lomonosov State University
Vorobievi Gori, Moscow, 117234
Russia
Email address: nrautian@mail.ru

V. V. Vlasov
Department of Mechanics and Mathematics
Moscow Lomonosov State University
Vorobievi Gori, Moscow, 117234
Russia
Email address: : vlasovvv@mech.math.msu.su